

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

*

一次 東京を高いた

sperulal by
WW-1379
Where conflicts

Honorable W. P. Herms, Jr. County Auditor
Waller County
Hempstead, Texas

Dear Mr. Herms:

0-3089

Opinion No. 0-3000

Re: Whether or not a county may legally guarantee payment of publication casts in the matter of citations to non-residents upon tax foreclosure suits.

We are in receipt of your letter of Jamuary 25, 1941, substituting two questions for our opinion, which questions for convenience sake have been numbered by us, your letter being as follows:

*Under his old contract, the County and State Delinquent Tax Collector, Attorney Vm. F. Jackson, has, and is filling suits on delinquent taxes covering a period of years, these to be cited by publication in the local newspaper.

these, declaring that the amount of taxes and costs against some of the property is excessive, and when sold at Sheriff's sale, will not bring enough to pay his charges, court costs, and amount of taxes.

*Some of the citations are on negro town lots, with taxes and costs amounting to as much as \$100.00, whereas, it is doubtful that the property will bring half that at sheriff's sale. In such instances, how Honorable W. P. Kerms, Jr. - page 2

can the publisher be assured of the payment of his services, and also, how can the sheriff and District Clerk be assured of their payment? (1) Can the County legally guarantee payment of publication costs!

*Also, another problem with which we are confronted is this; About 18 months ago, a number of out-of-county property owners were sued on delinquent taxes, their addresses being unknown at the time, and were cited by publication. Before judgment was taken, several of the owners came in and paid the Tax Collector, paying the taxes only, with no payment made for costs already incurred in connection with the suits.

(2) Is the tax collector liable for such costs, having failed to collect these at the time he accepted payment for the taxes, while the suits were pending?

In Section (d) of Article 7946b, Revised Civil Statutes, Vernon's Codification, concerning citations by publication in tax suits, it is provided:

"Such notices shall be published in some newsyaper published in the county in which the property is located one time a week for two (2) consecutive weeks, the first publication to be not less than fourteen (14) days prior to the first day of the term of court to which returnable; and the affidavit of the editor or publisher of the newspaper giving the dates of publication, together with a printed copy of the notice as published, attached to such notice, shall constitute sufficient proof of due publication when returned and filed in court. If there be no newspaper published in the county, then said publication may be made in a newspaper in an adjoining county. A maximum fee of two and one-half (2 1/24) cents per line (gix words to count for a line) for

such insertion may be taxed for publishing said notice. If the publication of such notice can not be had for such fee, then service of the notice herein provided may be made by posting a copy at the Courthouse door of the county in which the suit is pending, such notice to be posted at least fourteen (14) days prior to the first day of the term of court to which it is returnable.

This statute makes no express provision for liability for such publisher's fee.

Referring to Article 7342 of the Revised Civil Statutes, a similar if not identical statute as that above quoted, the Court of Civil Appeals for the Seventh District has said:

"This statute gives the county no authority to pay a newspaper the fees for the publication of the citation in tax suits citing unknown and non-resident owners, but provides that such fees may be taxed (evidently as costs in the suit); and the Commissioners' Court is given no authority to pay for the publication of such citations out of funds of the county derived from any other source." (Nunn-Warren Pub. Co. v. Hutchinson County, 45 S. W. (2) 651, writ of error refused).

This quotation answers your first question.

Your second question should also be answered in the negative. Even though it should be held that it was the Collector's duty to collect the court costs at the time he received the payment for the taxes proper, nevertheless, it does not follow that the Collector, would become personally liable for such costs. Whether the state and county are entitled to collect costs, and if so, what costs, remains to be determined in the pending suits, if they are pending, and if judgment has been rendered, then the judgment itself has determined such

Honorable W. P. Herms, Jr. - page 4

matter. If such taxpayers are adjudged to pay such costs, they may be collected without hindrance what-seever by reason of the prepayment of the taxes proper, and the failure to pay the costs.

In this connection your attention is called to Sections 8 and 9 of Article 7345b (supra) especially providing for the payment of costs and expenses first out of the proceeds of any sale at foreclosure.

Your letter implies perhaps a further question with respect to the collection of officers' fees in connection with such tax suits.

Article 7333 of the Revised Civil Statutes provides:

"In each case such fees shall be taxed as costs against the land to be sold under judgment for taxes, and paid out of the proceeds of sale of same after the taxes, penalty and interest due thereon are paid, and in ne case shall the State or county be liable therefor," and herein this question finds its answer.

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

Ocie Speer Assistant

OS-NR

APPROVEDFEB 7, 1941

General of Texas

